

### Statistical and Health Economics Analysis Plan

# (SHEAP) for

# FluCare - feasibility

**Title:** Estimating the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a complex intervention to increase care home staff influenza vaccination rates – a feasibility study.

Trial registration ISRCTN 14727552

IRAS # 305371

Based on protocol version 1.0 Version of SAP: 1.0

Author	Title	Signature	Date
Allan Clark	Trial Statistician	AL	04/05/22
Adam P Wagner	Trial Health Economist	A.Wage	04/05/22
Reviewers	Title	Signature	Date
Erika Sims			
Approver	Title	Signature	Date
Amrish Patel	Co-Chief Investigator	A	04/05/22
David Wright	Co-Chief Investigator	Demot	4/05/22



# **1** CONTENTS

2	Intro	oduction	2
3	Trial	population	2
	3.1	Analysis population	2
	3.2	Withdrawal/follow-up	2
	3.3	Baseline characteristics	2
4	Anal	ysis	3
	4.1	Analysis methods	3
	4.2	Health Economic Analysis	4
5	Stati	stical software	5

# **2** INTRODUCTION

This is a brief statistical analysis plan for the feasibility study of the FluCare project.

### **3** TRIAL POPULATION

### **3.1** ANALYSIS POPULATION

The analysis population will be made up of

- all care home staff (including agency and volunteers as well as core staff) that we have either baseline or outcome data for.
- all care homes allocated to a treatment arm.

### 3.2 WITHDRAWAL/FOLLOW-UP

The numbers, with reasons, of loss to follow-up (drop-outs or withdrawals) over the course of the trial will be summarised by treatment arm. This will be reported at both staff and care home levels

### **3.3 BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS**

Baseline data will be presented using summary statistics by allocated group, the mean and standard deviation shall be used for continuous variables and the number and percentage for categorical variables. If the continuous variables are heavily skewed then the median and interquartile range will be used. If necessary, levels of categorical variables may be combined for analysis. The table template is given below.

#### Table 1: Template for baseline table.

	Arm A	Arm B	Arm C	Arm D	Arm E
Age (years), mean (SD)					
Sex, n(%)					



NORWICHCIU			
Male			
Female			
Other			
Ethnicity, n(%)			
As defined in			
log			
English as a			
first language,			
n(%)			
Role			
Caregiver			
Previous			
vaccination rate			
of care home			
Size of care			
home			
Care home			
funding			
Care home			
location			

### **4 ANALYSIS**

#### 4.1 ANALYSIS METHODS

Feasibility outcomes:

The number of eligible care homes invited to participate will be tabulated and the percentage of those who consent to participate will reported along with reasons for not participating.

Retention rate will be estimated, as the percentage of staff who withdraw from follow-up from each arm. The number of care homes that withdraw will also be tabulated.

No formal hypothesis testing will be undertaken for any of the feasibility outcomes.

The template for reporting these outcome is given in Table 2.

Outcome	Arm A	Arm B	Arm C	Arm D	Arm E
Trial retention rate					
Completeness of					
primary outcome (%)					
Completeness of					
variables requested					
(%)					
Number of homes					
reporting all variables					
requested.					
Implementing/actioning					
processes for giving					

#### Table 2: Template for feasibility outcomes



staff videos and information			
No of vaccination clinics held			
Number (percentage) of MAQ completed at baseline			
Number (percentage) of MAQ completed at follow-up			

#### Efficacy outcome - vaccination rate:

The rate of vaccination reported by the care home log will be tabulated by arm. Part of the data quality progression criteria will be assessed by informally comparing to the vaccination rate from the NHS tracker dataset. Control arm bias will be assessed by informally comparing arms B, C and D to the usual care arm (A). The signal of efficacy will be assessed by comparing the rate in the intervention arm (E) to each control arm. The template for reporting the efficacy outcomes is given in Table 3.

#### Table 3: Template for efficacy outcomes (descriptive statistics)

Outcome	Arm A	Arm B	Arm C	Arm D	Arm E
Vaccination					
rate (logs)					
Vaccination					
rate					
(NHS tracker					
dataset)					

#### Mechanism of Action Questionnaire MAQ analysis

The Mechanism of Action Questionnaire for FluCare has 5 statements (related to barriers to getting the vaccine) asking intervention recipients to rate the extent to which they are affected by the barrier using a 5-point Likert scale. Each statement will be summarised separately at baseline and outcome for each arm. The change-from-baseline will also be presented. No formal comparisons will be made between arms.

#### 4.2 HEALTH ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

The health economic component's focus in the feasibility phase is to monitor data collection on individual staff, care homes and intervention resource use. Resulting findings will inform refined collection in the main trial, finalising data to be collected for its proposed health economic cost-and-consequences analysis.

Staff level data will be collected from the 'staff logs'. Descriptive statistics will be used to characterise staff (demographics, job role and working time), along with rates of sickness and leave



(both paid and unpaid) and vaccination rate. These will be presented inline with the SAP. Rates and patterns of missingness will be used to refine the design of the staff log for the main trial.

Potential impacts on care home level resident health will be collected from the 'Residents' Health Log'. Rates of mortality, hospital attendances and admissions, GP and Practice Nurse consultations will be summarised using descriptive statistics. Rates and patterns of missingness will be used to refine the design of the log for use in the main trial.

Drawing on data collected within the process evaluation, and expert opinion as needed, we will estimate the resources (eg staff time and vaccination materials) required to deliver elements of the FluCare intervention. Indicative costs of these resources will be estimated using standard costing resources (such as PSSRU) for the latest cost year available at time of analysis. Given the intervention impacts on a range of perspectives, a range of costing perspectives will be considered (including: NHS, public sector, care homes and pharmacies). Should the process evaluation highlight unintended consequences of the intervention, we will explore potential resource and cost impacts (for example, care home staff engaging with FluCare components on their own time).

# **5 STATISTICAL SOFTWARE**

The statistical analysis will be undertaken by Allan Clark and will use Stata version 17, however, other packages such as R or SAS may be used if necessary. Health economic analysis will be undertaken by Adam Wagner, primarily using Excel and R, but utilising other packages if needed.